# PAST, PRESENT AND FUTURE OF TRANSCRANIAL MAGNETIC STIMULATION (TMS) IN THE TREATMENT OF PSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS

### Abstract

Transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) is a brain stimulation technique used for the treatment of major depression and other psychiatric disorders. Initially used as a research tool in neurophysiology, TMS has been subsequently extended to the therapeutic area of depressive disorders and approved in many countries for this purpose. TMS uses magnetic fields to deliver electricity into specific areas of the cerebral cortex, mainly the dorso-lateral prefrontal cortex. Several randomized clinical trials (RCTs) conducted with TMS over the last decade have allowed its approval by the FDA for the treatment of major depressive episodes with poor response to standard antidepressants. In addition, meta-analyses and international treatment guidelines have more recently defined stimulation parameters and safety standards. Future directions in the field should further explore the clinical efficacy and safety of specific forms of TMS like deep TMS and theta burst stimulation, which allow to reach deeper anatomic targets and to shorten the overall duration of stimulation. The utility of maintenance session and the interaction with specific psychotropic compounds represent areas that need to be further investigated as well.

To date, TMS is likely the non-invasive brain stimulation intervention with the strongest evidence in terms of efficacy in psychiatric disorders, as documented by RCTs and meta-analyses. Nonetheless, the efficacy of TMS needs to be further investigated in other psychiatric disorders with preliminary, encouraging results in different fields. The tolerability and safety profile of TMS are advantageous, the technique being non-invasive, generally well-accepted and devoid of systemic side-effects.

**Key-words:** transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS), major depression, guidelines, randomized controlled trials (RCTs), meta-analyses, future perspectives

# Introduction

Transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) is a brain stimulation technique that has been used in the psychiatric field, over the last two decades, with therapeutic purposes, mostly in patients with mood disorders and partial response to standard antidepressants.

TMS uses magnetic fields to penetrate the skull and the brain and deliver electrical current to the cerebral cortex, typically at 2-3 cm of depth, through a stimulator generating brief pulses with variable frequency and intensity, and a stimulating coil connected to the stimulator. The TMS coil is usually round or figure-eight (butterfly) in shape, the latter producing a stronger and more focal field than the circular one. Different and novel coil have been developed over the last years indeed <sup>1</sup>.

Differently from the direct application of electrical current, as for the electroconvulsant therapy, magnetic fields can easily cross the skull and penetrate the brain, then converting into electrical current that can inter-

# Beatrice Benatti<sup>1\*</sup> Laura Cremaschi<sup>1\*</sup> Lucio Oldani<sup>1</sup> Francesca De Cagna<sup>1</sup> Matteo Vismara<sup>1</sup> Bernardo Dell'Osso<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Psychiatry, University of Milan; Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda, Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milano, Italy; <sup>2</sup> Bipolar Disorders Clinic, Stanford University, CA, USA <sup>\*</sup> Equally contributing first authors

### Correspondence

Bernardo Dell'Osso bernardo.dellosso@unimi.it fere with and modulate cortical excitability, through mechanisms of long-term potentiation and long-term depression <sup>1</sup>. In particular, these changes occur when TMS is delivered in form of repeated trains of stimuli, as happens with its use in clinical practice as repetitive TMS (rTMS).

In terms of mechanism of action and rational for the use of TMS in depressive disorders, it should be kept into account that current pathophysiological models converge to indicate that two major groups of brain regions - a "dorsal" and a "ventral" network - seem to account for the formation of the different symptoms of affective disorders <sup>2-4</sup>. Within this theoretical framework, depression is hypothesized to involve concurrent hypoactivation of dorsal prefrontal regions and hyperactivation of ventral prefrontal regions, particularly in the left hemisphere <sup>2-4</sup>. Symptom remission, therefore, is supposed to require facilitation of hypoactive dorsal brain regions and inhibition of hyperactive ventral areas. Ultimately, transcranial neuromodulatory, brain stimulation techniques, like TMS, are supposed to restore the functional balance between the two hemispheres <sup>2-4</sup>.

Different parameters characterize the clinical use of TMS as therapeutic intervention in neuropsychiatric disorders. One is represented by the frequency of stimulation, that identifies two main types of stimulation: low frequency (1Hz) and high frequency stimulation (10 Hz). The two types of stimulation are thought to exert opposite effects over the target area (inhibition for low frequency and enhancement for high frequency) 5. Other important parameters are represented by the intensity of stimulation, which ranges from the 80% to 120% of patient's motor threshold – the minimal intensity required to produce contraction of the thumb (abductor pollicis brevis) -, the number of stimuli per single session of TMS, the total number of sessions (i.e., the duration of the trial), and the potential implementation of maintenance sessions.

TMS is currently considered a safe and well-tolerated intervention. Adverse reactions can include posttreatment mild and self-limited headache, scalp pain at the stimulation site, and potential transient hearing alterations due to the clicking sound of the machine. The most serious, although rare, potential adverse effect of TMS is the induction of seizure.

After having obtained the first FDA approval in 2008 for the therapeutic use (i.e., Neurostar device) in major depressive episode with poor response to at least one antidepressant trial, TMS obtained two further approvals for such indication (i.e., Magstim and Brainsway devices) and it has been extensively investigated as therapeutic tool also in a series of different psychiatric disorders, including bipolar disorder, schizophrenia, anxiety disorders, obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD), post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), addictions, and other conditions 67. The increasing number of studies, randomized controlled trials (RCTs) in particular, allowed the development of the first meta-analyses and subsequent treatment guidelines - updated in 2014 - by the major international associations of psychopharmacologists, neurophysiologists and psychiatrists, defining the standard parameters for the use of TMS in psychiatric clinical practice and opening the way for a field in continuous evolution. In the last two years, further reviews and meta-analyses providing the most recent updates have been published, confirming the growing interest of the scientific community on the topic <sup>8-10</sup>.

The aim of the present review was to provide a critical perspective of most recent acquisitions, current directions and future perspectives in the field of therapeutic use of TMS for psychiatric disorders, taking into particular account guidelines indications and recent publications, after a Pub-Med/Scopus detailed search.

## **Treatment guidelines indications**

In the last two decades, evidence-based guidelines elaborated by different international associations of experts in the field of clinical psychiatry, stemming from a consistent body of evidence in terms of RCTs, recognized the emerging role of TMS as therapeutic tool in a variety of neuropsychiatric conditions, in light of its non-invasiveness and favorable tolerability profile <sup>11</sup> <sup>12</sup> (Figure 1). Although not being considered as the standard of care, guidelines recommendations may provide guidance for researchers and clinicians in order to offer TMS within a more individualized treatment plan.

For instance, the Canadian Network for Mood and Anxiety Treatments (CANMAT) <sup>13</sup> and the World Federation of Societies of Biological Psychiatry (WFSBP) <sup>14</sup> have been the first major associations providing updated evidence on the neurostimulation application in psychiatry, including a specific section on TMS. Even though the therapeutic utility of this stimulation technique has been claimed for depression, TMS also found application in acute mania, bipolar disorders, panic disorder, schizophrenia, OCD, PTSD, and drug craving.

In 2009, moreover, a group of international experts updated the previous safety guidelines for the appli-



### FIGURE 1.

TMS and ECT publications (PUBMED) from 2000 onward in psychiatric disorders and major depression.

cation of TMS in research and clinical settings <sup>15</sup>. Furthermore, in 2014, Lefaucheur and colleagues published the first evidence-based guidelines specifically focused on the clinical application of TMS in the treatment of different neuropsychiatric disorders: to date these guidelines represent the most complete and updated report on the topic <sup>16</sup>.

According to CANMAT guidelines, rTMS has been recommended as a second-line therapeutic intervention in adult patients with major depression, who failed to respond to at least one antidepressant, with a good level of evidence in terms of acute efficacy and safety/ tolerability (level 1), and a minimal evidence for maintenance and relapse prevention (level 3). It should be performed at high frequency on the left dorso-lateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC), with a better outcome for 20 vs 10 sessions. Even though available data did not allow to clearly define predictors of positive outcome to TMS, or the optimal eligibility criteria for candidate patients, some clinical variables, such as a lower duration of current depressive episode and the absence of comorbid anxiety disorders, were indicated to positively affect treatment response. Moreover, the augmentative use of rTMS with antidepressant medication was found to accelerate response under shamcontrolled conditions <sup>13</sup>.

With respect to WFSBP guidelines, TMS has been

recommended with a good level of evidence for the acute management of patients with moderate treatment-resistant depression (TRD) - preferably without psychotic symptoms during the index episode either alone or in augmentation with medications. Typically, the eligible candidates should have shown an inadequate response to at least one trial with antidepressants, although some class I evidence supported the acute TMS efficacy also in drug-free unipolar depressed individuals. Insufficient evidence was available on its application as a maintenance/ preventive strategy for depression, after acute response. In all these circumstances, a specifically trained equipe should provide TMS within a medical setting, under the supervision of a licensed medical doctor, able to properly manage potential adverseevents and related consequences during and after stimulation sessions <sup>14</sup>.

Evidence-based guidelines elaborated by Lefaucheur and colleagues in 2014 considered the application of TMS in a large number of neuropsychiatric disorders including major depression, schizophrenia, and anxiety disorders <sup>16</sup>. Summary of level of evidence for the efficacy of TMS in these conditions is presented in Table I.

Indeed, major depression represents the main clinical indication for the use of rTMS. The efficacy of Table I. Use of rTMS in psychiatric disorders other than major depression as formulated by Lefaucheur et al. (2014).

Psychiatric disorder	Evidence level
Schizophrenia	Potential efficacy (auditory hallucinations) Potential efficacy (negative symptoms)
Bipolar Disorder	Insufficient
Panic Disorder	Insufficient
Generalized Anxiety Disorder	Insufficient
Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder	Potential efficacy
Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder	Variable (different targets)
Craving and cigarette smoking	Potential efficacy

high frequency (HF) rTMS of the left DLPFC and low frequency (LF) rTMS of the right DLPFC in acute depression is definite, with a Level A of recommendation. Furthermore, rTMS is likely to have higher success rates when applied to individuals not older than 65 years, with partial treatment response or limited treatment resistance (one/two unsuccessful medical interventions, with or without the combination of focused psychotherapy).

As regards schizophrenia, preliminary but encouraging evidence supports the role of rTMS in reducing negative symptomatology (level B), probably related to the beneficial effect on the depressive component resulting from HF rTMS of the left DLPFC. In a previous comprehensive review, moreover, Fitzgerald and Daskalakis provided preliminary but limited data supporting the role of TMS in reducing negative symptoms and improving cognitive function in schizophrenia <sup>17</sup>. However, insufficient data recommended the use of TMS in the treatment of psychotic symptoms in schizophrenia. However, some studies suggest that TMS, in particular at the level of the temporoparietal area, may improve positive symptoms (i.e., auditory hallucinations) compared with sham TMS <sup>18</sup>. Moreover, according to a recent meta-analysis, low frequency TMS was found to be effective in treating resistant auditory hallucinations in schizophrenic subjects, although showing no effect on other positive symptoms or cognitive deficits <sup>19</sup>.

In relation to anxiety disorders, rTMS should be considered a potential second-line treatment in PTSD, for individuals who failed to respond to conventional therapies. Up to date, results from the few studies investigating this issue in PTSD are heterogeneous, with the only recommendation (level C) for a potential effect of HF rTMS on right DLPFC <sup>20</sup>.

LF rTMS specifically targeting the orbitofrontal cortex or the supplementary motor area seems to be the most promising use of TMS in OCD <sup>21</sup>, given that rTMS of the DLPFC has shown poor evidence of superiority over sham therapy <sup>22</sup>. Nonetheless, a recent sham-controlled trial of rTMS of DLPFC reported a significant improvement in obsessions but not in compulsions, with Y-BOCS scores reduction, as well as relief in depressive and anxiety symptoms <sup>23</sup>. Ultimately, the guidelines level of evidence for the use of TMS in OCD is of possible efficacy, requiring further investigation.

Considering cigarette craving, a level C of recommendation has been reported for the possible efficacy of HF rTMS to the left DLPFC in reducing consumption. Finally, Lefaucheur and colleagues stressed the need of further investigation, in order to better clarify specific issues including TMS efficacy in bipolar depression, non-response *vs* treatment-resistance level in candidate patients, potential concomitant pharmacotherapy, and the usefulness of maintenance protocols.

# Potential limitations and new perspectives in the therapeutic use of rTMS in psychiatric practice

TMS is, at current time, one of the most promising novel and innovative treatments in clinical psychiatry, particularly for major depression. In the U.S., for instance, three different devices for TMS have received FDA approval for use in major depression, such indication being reimbursed by most insurance companies. Nonetheless, if, on one hand, previous and more recent treatment guidelines provide converging evidence on the efficacy and safety of rTMS in patients with major depression, some aspects beyond those already considered by the guidelines (e.g., interference of concomitant pharmacological therapy, usefulness of maintenance session, need for further studies in other psychiatric disorders) need to be taken into account in order to overcome current limitations and barriers to the use of TMS in clinical practice.

To authors' opinion, two main issues may limit the use and diffusion of TMS in some psychiatric conditions and in specific populations: the limited depth of penetration and the duration of session and overall trial with traditional TMS. In fact, a first potential limitation for the use and extension of TMS in other psychiatric disorders is represented by its limited power of penetration (2-3 cm on average), allowing to mostly target the grey cortical matter up to the junction with white matter. Such characteristic is considered a potential limitation for the treatment of resistant patients and elderly patients, who may have different degrees of cortical atrophy, and patients with psychiatric disorders with pathophysiological mechanisms implying the prominent involvement of subcortical circuits. The availability of deep TMS seems to be of particular relevance for these and other cases.

With respect to the duration of a single session and entire course of TMS, these parameters are quantified around 30-45 minutes per session, 5 days per week, for not less than 3 to 4 weeks. Such features contribute to the overall costs of the intervention and limit its access to candidate patients for different reasons. In such perspective, the recent development of patterned TMS protocols, including Theta Burst Stimulation, might be of particular interest in order to reduce the overall duration of stimulation.

### Deep TMS

A relatively new alternative to classic TMS is Deep Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (DTMS), a form of rTMS operated with a particular coil, the so called H-Coil <sup>24</sup>, that can lead to a non invasive stimulation of a deeper area of the brain, up to 6 cm of depth, compared with the classic figure-of-eight coils. This stimulation can affect extensive neuronal pathways, including deeper cortical regions and fibers targeting subcortical regions, reducing the stimulation of the superficial cortical areas <sup>25-27</sup>. In particular, main targets are the dorsolateral and ventrolateral frontal areas that projects to other centers of the brain reward system <sup>28</sup>. DTMS is considered a secure and safe treatment: scalp discomfort, transient headache and dizziness, insomnia, numbness in the right temporal and right cervical zone, and, very rarely, generalized seizures have been reported as possible side effects and adverse events 9. In the recent years, DTMS has been thoroughly investigated <sup>29-33</sup> and in 2013, the Food and Drug Administration issued a specific approval for a DTMS device (Brainsway), indicated for the treatment of adult patients suffering from TRD.

The only large multisite RCT involving 212 patients with TRD suggested that DTMS monotherapy was significantly more effective than sham DTMS in reducing depression scores at the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale, with a 0.76 effect size, and in improving response (38.4% *vs* 21.4%) and remission rates (32.6% *vs* 14.6%)<sup>34</sup>. This study underlined also the safety of the procedure and a stable therapeutic effect for up to 12 weeks of maintenance phase.

Two recent reviews specifically assessed the efficacy of DTMS. The first one stated that a 20 session-HF-DTMS course was an efficacious and acceptable treatment in unipolar depressed, multi-resistant patients, with overall weighted response and remission rates of 60% and 29%, respectively <sup>35</sup>. The second literature review <sup>36</sup> showed also an anxiolytic effect for the procedure in unipolar depressed patients, even though such effect seems to be more heterogeneous among studies compared to the antidepressant action of DTMS.

HF-DTMS seems to be effective also on cognitive functioning in depressed unipolar patients, including visuospatial and working memory, executive functions, information processing speed, orientation, as recently highlighted <sup>37</sup> with a higher degree of improvement compared to ECT and rTMS <sup>38</sup>.

If, currently, DTMS may be considered an effective intervention in the therapy of TRD, the technique has also shown some positive result in the treatment of other psychiatric disorders, such as bipolar depression <sup>39 40</sup>, obsessive compulsive disorder <sup>41</sup>, PTSD <sup>42</sup>, cognitive and negative symptoms in schizophrenia <sup>43</sup> and neurologic disorders, like Parkinson's disease <sup>44</sup>. More in detail, different specific coils have been developed for some of the abovementioned conditions. In addition, it needs to be stressed that DTMS allows an overall shorter duration of session, approximately 20 minutes.

### **Theta Burst Stimulation**

Theta-burst stimulation (TBS) is a form of rTMS in which short bursts of 50 Hz rTMS are repeated at a rate in the theta range (5 Hz, 500 ms), as a continuous (cTBS), or intermittent (iTBS) trains <sup>45</sup>. The effects of this technique on synaptic plasticity occur faster than with traditional rTMS protocols, and TBS can produce long-lasting results on corticospinal excitability, involving long-term potentiation or depression-like effects on cortical synapses, depending on the pattern applied <sup>1</sup>. In particular, studies on the human motor cortex showed that iTBS, giving short TBS trains intermittently, produced a prevalent excitatory effect yielding long-term potentiation-like effects; cTBS, on the other hand, led to an inhibitory effect, inducing a long-term depression-like reduction of cortical excitability <sup>46 47</sup>.

Over the recent years, TBS has been applied in patients with various types of neurologic diseases such as Parkinson's disease, dystonia, tics, stuttering, tinnitus, spasticity, or epilepsy; rehabilitation of aphasia or hand function after stroke; pain syndromes, such as neuropathic pain, visceral pain or migraine <sup>47 48</sup>. As regards psychiatric disorders, TBS has been utilised in TRD patients, with the underlying hypothesis that such individuals manifest a hypoactivity of the left DLPFC and a hyperactivity of the right DLPFC <sup>49</sup>.

In 2010, Chistyakov and colleagues applied TBS to subjects with TRD in an open-label study, reporting clinical improvement after 2 weeks of treatment with left prefrontal iTBS (1200 pulses) and right prefrontal cTBS (1200, 1800 and 3600 pulses). Authors also showed a dose dependent effect, since 3600 pulses cTBS were significantly more effective than 1200 pulses cTBS in reducing depressive symptoms severity <sup>50</sup>. Moreover, a recent RCT of daily prefrontal TBS in patients with TRD by Li and colleagues showed that left prefrontal iTBS was more effective than right prefrontal cTBS and sham TBS; in addition, treatment refractoriness at baseline was an important and independent variable in predicting TBS antide-pressant response <sup>48</sup>.

### Other stimulation parameters

From the first experiments of the technique in neurophysiology, TMS has obtained different approvals for the therapeutic use in neuropsychiatric disorders and is currently considered a safe and efficacious treatment for MDD and other psychiatric disorders <sup>5</sup>. Nonetheless, there are several ongoing directions to further refine the application of TMS in order to achieve superior therapeutic utility. First of all, the vast majority of TMS investigation has focused on the acute efficacy of the treatment with scattered and inconsistent data on the long term effect and the risk of relapse after treatment suspension 7 51. Literature reports a high variable relapse risk, between 20% 52 to less than 80% 53 at six months. These findings suggest the need of a maintenance phase after the acute phase effect of TMS. In particular, maintenance phase is indicated for patients that showed a positive response after the acute phase without reaching remission or for individuals that relapsed after the acute phase treatment <sup>5</sup>.

The efficacy of maintenance treatment has been supported by different reports from literature studies for both rTMS 54-57 and DTMS 34 58 in MDD and bipolar depression <sup>59</sup>, even though, in mentioned studies, maintenance treatment was performed under different protocols in terms of duration and frequencies. Consequently, a univocal protocol is urgently needed. A recent study focusing on depressed patients who were medication free for one year maintenance period showed that maintenance TMS was not superior to "watch and wait" approach, although it was associated with a non-significantly longer time to relapse 60. This study underlines how a better understanding of the interactions between pharmacologic treatments and TMS is needed for future investigation in order to implement optimal maintenance TMS plans.

In fact, patients undergoing TMS frequently receive other forms of therapy, such as psychotherapy, neurorehabilitation, and psychotropic medications, being the latter the primary safety concern for a possible interaction with TMS <sup>15</sup>. Actually, TMS produces limited side effects, and the most serious is the occurrence of seizures 61. In particular, several antidepressants and neuroleptics may increase seizure risk, while anticonvulsants lower it 62. Therefore, before starting a TMS protocol, clinicians should assess patients' seizure risk, taking also into account factors like medications dosages, speed of dose changes, and combination with other psychotropic drugs. In particular, the intake of one or a combination of the following psychotropic drugs poses a higher potential hazard for the application of TMS, due to their significant seizure threshold lowering potential: imipramine, amitriptyline, doxepine, nortriptyline, maprotiline, chlorpromazine, clozapine. In these cases, TMS should be performed, when required, with particular caution <sup>15</sup> <sup>63</sup>. Certainly, the chapter of the interactions between TMS and specific classes of pharmacological treatments needs to be further investigated.

# Conclusions

Among brain stimulation interventions used as therapeutic tools for psychiatric disorders with poor response to standard treatments, TMS certainly represents the technique with the largest body of evidence in terms of RCTs and meta-analyses, with multiple indications and specific approvals by major regulatory agencies, such as the American FDA, and recently updated international treatment guidelines. Certainly the favorable profile of tolerability with no associated systemic side-effects and the very low potential to induce adverse events played a crucial role in the widespread diffusion of TMS.

While the efficacy of TMS, particularly in major depression with poor response to antidepressants, is supported by the available literature, its effects in other mental disorders are still under investigation with preliminary evidence in some contexts (i.e., auditory hallucinations and negative symptoms in schizophrenia, nicotine craving and consumption, PTSD) and encouraging findings in some anxiety disorders and OCD. Other clinical areas and aspects to be further investigated are represented by the efficacy of the technique in bipolar depression and by the usefulness of maintenance sessions in patients beyond the acute treatment.

Notwithstanding the significant growth of TMS as therapeutic tool in major depression and other psychiatric disorders, there are still some open and debated issues about its real placement within the treatment algorithm of major depression, given that the mean duration of a TMS course should not last less than 3-4 weeks, 5 days per week for an average duration of 30 to 45 minutes per session. Such characteristics make it necessary to perform specific analyses of cost-utility for the clinical use of TMS in order to place the technique in the most appropriate position within the therapeutic algorithms of public and private psychiatric services. This is why, over the future years, further investigation in the field of TBS and DTMS might provide new advantages in terms of time reduction of the overall trial and single sessions of stimulation as well as in terms of possibility to treat more resistant patients. Undoubtedly, the last decade represented a major step forward in the investigation and clinical application of TMS in the treatment of psychiatric disorders, which, ultimately, allowed the technique to be considered among current international guidelines as a valid therapeutic option in the treatment of major depression. It is, therefore, more than likely that the future decade of research and clinical acguisitions in the field of TMS will allow to definitely complete the transition for the technique from an investigational to a practical level of use within the therapeutic interventions for major depression and other psychiatric disorders.

# Take home messages for psychiatric care

- TMS is likely the non-invasive brain stimulation intervention with the strongest evidence in terms of efficacy in
  psychiatric disorders, in light of its non-invasiveness and favorable tolerability profile, as documented by RCTs and
  meta-analyses
- It has been approved by the FDA for the treatment of major depressive episodes with poor response to at least one antidepressant trial and then extensively investigated as therapeutic tool also in other psychiatric disorders
- We provided a critical perspective of most recent acquisitions on the use of TMS in psychiatric field, taking into account guidelines indications and more recent publications
- Future investigation should address the clinical efficacy and safety of specific forms of TMS (e.g., deep TMS, theta burst stimulation), which allow to reach deeper anatomic targets and to shorten the overall duration of stimulation.

### References

- <sup>1</sup> Huang YZ, Rothwell JC, Chen RS, et al. *The theoretical model of theta burst form of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation.* Clin Neurophysiol 2011;122:1011-8.
- <sup>2</sup> Mayberg HS. Limbic-cortical dysregulation: a proposed model of depression. J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci 1997;9:471-81.
- <sup>3</sup> Davidson RJ. Anterior electrophysiological asymmetries, emotion, and depression: conceptual and methodological conundrums. Psychophysiology 1998;35:607-614.
- <sup>4</sup> Blumberg HP, Stern E, Martinez D, et al. *Increased anterior cingulate and caudate activity in bipolar mania*. Biol Psychiatry 2000;48:1045-52.
- <sup>5</sup> Dell'Osso B. *Brain Stimulation in Psichiatria*. Pisa: Pacini Editore Medicina 2016.
- <sup>6</sup> Dell'Osso B, Mundo E, D'Urso N, et al. Augmentative re-

petitive navigated transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) in drug-resistant bipolar depression. Bipolar Disorder 2009;11:76-81.

- <sup>7</sup> Dell'osso B, Camuri G, Castellano F, et al. Meta-Review of Metanalytic Studies with Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (rTMS) for the Treatment of Major Depression. Clin Pract Epidemiol Ment Health 2011;7:167-77.
- <sup>8</sup> Gaynes BN, Lloyd SW, Lux L, et al. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for treatment-resistant depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Clin Psychiatry 2014;75:477-89.
- <sup>9</sup> Bewernick B, Schlaepfer TE. Update on Neuromodulation for Treatment-Resistant Depression. F1000Res 2015;4.
- <sup>10</sup> Perera T, George MS, Grammer G, et al. *The Clinical TMS Society Consensus Review and Treatment Recommendations for TMS Therapy for Major Depressive Disorder.* Brain Stimul 2016;9:336-46.

- <sup>11</sup> Devlin JT, Watkins KE. *Stimulating language: insights from TMS*. Brain 2007;130:610-22.
- <sup>12</sup> George MS, Nahas Z, Borckardt JJ, et al. *Brain stimulation for the treatment of psychiatric disorders.* Curr Opin Psychiatry 2007;20:250-4.
- <sup>13</sup> Kennedy SH, Milev R, Giacobbe P, et al. Canadian Network for Mood and Anxiety Treatments (CANMAT) Clinical guidelines for the management of major depressive disorder in adults. IV. Neurostimulation therapies. J Affect Disord 2009;117:S44-53.
- <sup>14</sup> Schlaepfer TE, George MS, Mayberg H; WFSBP Task Force on Brain Stimulation. WFSBP Guidelines on Brain Stimulation Treatments in Psychiatry. World J Biol Psychiatry 2010;11:2-18.
- <sup>15</sup> Rossi S, Hallett M, Rossini PM, et al; Safety of TMS Consensus Group. Safety, ethical considerations, and application guidelines for the use of transcranial magnetic stimulation in clinical practice and research. Clin Neurophysiol 2009;120:2008-39.
- <sup>16</sup> Lefaucheur JP, André-Obadia N, Antal A, et al. *Evidence-based guidelines on the therapeutic use of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS).* Clin Neurophysiol 2014;125:2150-206.
- <sup>17</sup> Fitzgerald PB, Daskalakis ZJ. A review of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation use in the treatment of schizophrenia. Can J Psychiatry 2008;53:567-76.
- <sup>18</sup> Dougall N, Maayan N, Soares-Weiser K, et al. *Transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) for schizophrenia*. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2015;8:CD006081.
- <sup>19</sup> Aleman A, Sommer IE, Kahn RS. *Efficacy of slow repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in the treatment of resistant auditory hallucinations in schizophrenia: a meta-analysis.* J Clin Psychiatry 2007;68:416-21.
- <sup>20</sup> Clark C, Cole J, Winter C, et al. A review of transcranial magnetic stimulation as a treatment for post-traumatic stress disorder. Curr Psychiatry Rep 2015;17:83.
- <sup>21</sup> Berlim MT, Neufeld NH, Van den Eynde F. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) for obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD): an exploratory meta-analysis of randomized and sham-controlled trials. J Psychiatr Res 2013;47:999-1006.
- <sup>22</sup> Lapidus KA, Stern ER, Berlin HA, Goodman WK. *Neuro-modulation for obsessive-compulsive disorder*. Neurothera-peutics 2014;11:485-95.
- <sup>23</sup> Ma X, Huang Y, Liao L, et al. A randomized double-blinded sham-controlled trial of α electroencephalogram-guided transcranial magnetic stimulation for obsessive-compulsive disorder. Chin Med J (Engl) 2014;127:601-6.
- <sup>24</sup> Roth Y, Zangen A, Hallett M. A coil design for transcranial magnetic stimulation of deep brain regions. J Clin Neurophysiol 2002;19:361-70.
- <sup>25</sup> Nestler EJ, Barrot M, DiLeone RJ, et al. *Neurobiology of depression*. Neuron 2002;34:13-25.
- <sup>26</sup> Zangen A, Roth Y, Voller B, Hallett M. *Transcranial magnetic stimulation of deep brain regions: evidence for efficacy of the H-coil.* Clin Neurophysiol 2005;116:775-9.
- <sup>27</sup> Roth Y, Amir A, Levkovitz Y, et al. Three-dimensional distribution of the electric field induced in the brain by transcranial magnetic stimulation using figure-8 and deep H-coils. J Clin Neurophysiol 2007;24:31-8.
- <sup>28</sup> Fitzgerald P. Is it time to introduce repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation into standard clinical practice for the treatment of depressive disorders?. Aust N Z J Psychiatry 2003;37:5-11.
- <sup>29</sup> Levkovitz Y, Roth Y, Harel EV, et al. A randomized controlled feasibility and safety study of deep transcranial magnetic stimulation. Clin Neurophysiol 2007;118:2730-44.

- <sup>30</sup> Levkovitz Y, Harel EV, Roth Y, et al. Deep transcranial magnetic stimulation over the prefrontal cortex: evaluation of antidepressant and cognitive effects in depressive patients. Brain Stimul 2009;2:188-200.
- <sup>31</sup> Rosenberg O, Shoenfeld N, Zangen A, et al. *Deep TMS in a resistant major depressive disorder: a brief report.* Depress Anxiety 2010;27:465-9.
- <sup>32</sup> Isserles M, Rosenberg O, Dannon P, et al. Cognitive-emotional reactivation during deep transcranial magnetic stimulation over the prefrontal cortex of depressive patients affects antidepressant outcome. J Affect Disord 2011;128:235-42.
- <sup>33</sup> Bersani FS, Minichino A, Enticott PG, et al. Deep transcranial magnetic stimulation as a treatment for psychiatric disorders: a comprehensive review. Eur Psychiatry 2013;28:30-9.
- <sup>34</sup> Levkovitz Y, Isserles M, Padberg F, et al. Efficacy and safety of deep transcranial magnetic stimulation for major depression: a prospective multicenter randomized controlled trial. World Psychiatry 2015;14:64-73.
- <sup>35</sup> Kedzior KK, Gellersen HM, Brachetti AK, et al. Deep transcranial magnetic stimulation (DTMS) in the treatment of major depression: An exploratory systematic review and meta-analysis. J Affect Disord 2015;187:73-83.
- <sup>36</sup> Kedzior KK, Gellersen HM, Roth Y, et al. Acute reduction in anxiety after deep transcranial magnetic stimulation (DTMS) in unipolar major depression- a systematic review and meta-analysis. Psychiatry Res 2015;230:971-4.
- <sup>37</sup> Kedzior KK, Gierke L, Gellersen HM, et al. Cognitive functioning and deep transcranial magnetic stimulation (DTMS) in major psychiatric disorders: a systematic review. J Psychiatr Res 2016;75:107-15.
- <sup>38</sup> Minichino A, Bersani FS, Capra E, et al. ECT, rTMS, and deepTMS in pharmacoresistant drug-free patients with unipolar depression: a comparative review. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat 2012;8:55-64.
- <sup>39</sup> Harel EV, Zangen A, Roth Y, et al. *H-coil repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for the treatment of bipolar depression: an add-on, safety and feasibility study.* World J Biol Psychiatry 2011;12:119-26.
- <sup>40</sup> Bersani FS, Girardi N, Sanna L, et al. Deep transcranial magnetic stimulation for treatment-resistant bipolar depression: a case report of acute and maintenance efficacy. Neurocase 2013;19:451-7.
- <sup>41</sup> Modirrousta M, Shams E, Katz C, et al. The efficacy of deep repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation over the medial prefrontal cortex in obsessive compulsive disorder: results from an open-label study. Depress Anxiety 2015;32:445-50.
- <sup>42</sup> Karsen EF, Watts BV, Holtzheimer PE. *Review of the effectiveness of transcranial magnetic stimulation for post-traumatic stress disorder.* Brain stimul 2014;7:151-7.
- <sup>43</sup> Levkovitz Y, Rabany L, Harel EV, et al. Deep transcranial magnetic stimulation add-on for treatment of negative symptoms and cognitive deficits of schizophrenia: a feasibility study. Int J Neuropsychoph 2011;14:991-6.
- <sup>44</sup> Cohen OS, Orlev Y, Yahalom G, et al. Repetitive Deep Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation for Motor Symptoms in Parkinson's Disease: a feasibility study. Clin Neurol Neurosurg 2015;140:73-8.
- <sup>45</sup> Di Lazzaro V, Pilato F, Dileone M, et al. The physiological basis of the effects of intermittent theta burst stimulation of the human motor cortex. J Physiol 2008;586:4481-7.
- <sup>46</sup> Li CT, Chen MH, Juan CH, et al. *Efficacy of prefrontal theta-burst stimulation in refractory depression: a randomized sham-controlled study.* Brain 2014;137:2088-98.
- <sup>47</sup> Suppa A, Huang YZ, Funke K, et al. Ten years of theta burst stimulation in humans: established knowledge, unknowns and prospects. Brain Stimul 2016;9:323-35.

- <sup>48</sup> Chen PR, Lai KL, Fuh JL, et al. *Efficacy of continuous theta burst stimulation of the primary motor cortex in reducing migraine frequency: a preliminary open-label study.* J Clin Med Assoc 2016;79:304-8.
- <sup>49</sup> Allen JJ, Urry HL, Hitt SK, et al. *The stability of resting frontal electroencephalographic asymmetry in depression.* Psychophysiology 2004;41:269-80.
- <sup>50</sup> Chistyakov AV, Rubicsek O, Kaplan B, et al. Safety, tolerability and preliminary evidence for antidepressant efficacy of theta-burst transcranial magnetic stimulation in patients with major depression. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol 2010;13:387-93.
- <sup>51</sup> Dell'Osso B, D'Urso N, Castellano F, et al. Long-term efficacy after acute augmentative repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in bipolar depression: a 1-year follow-up study. J ECT 2011;27:141-4.
- <sup>52</sup> Dannon PN, Dolberg OT, Schreiber S, et al. Three and sixmonth outcome following courses of either ECT or rTMS in a population of severely depressed individuals--preliminary report. Biol Psychiatry 2002;51:687-90.
- <sup>53</sup> Cohen RB, Boggio PS, Fregni F. Risk factors for relapse after remission with repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for the treatment of depression. Depress Anxiety 2009;26:682-8.
- <sup>54</sup> O'Reardon JP, Blumner KH, Peshek AD, et al. Long-term maintenance therapy for major depressive disorder with rTMS. J Clin Psychiatry 2005;66:1524-8.
- <sup>55</sup> Connolly KR, Helmer A, Cristancho MA, et al. Effectiveness of transcranial magnetic stimulation in clinical practice post-FDA approval in the United States: results observed with the

first 100 consecutive cases of depression at an academic medical center. J Clin Psychiatry 2012;7:e567-73.

- <sup>56</sup> Fitzgerald PB, Grace N, Hoy KE, et al. An open label trial of clustered maintenance *rTMS* for patients with refractory depression. Brain Stimulation 2013;6:292-7.
- <sup>57</sup> Richieri R, Guedj E, Michel P, et al. Maintenance transcranial magnetic stimulation reduces depression relapse: a propensity-adjusted analysis. J Affect Disord 2013;151:129-35.
- <sup>58</sup> Rapinesi C, Bersani FS, Kotzalidis GD, et al. Maintenance deep transcranial magnetic stimulation sessions are associated with reduced depressive relapses in patients with unipolar or bipolar depression. Front Neurol 2015;6:16.
- <sup>59</sup> Li X, Nahas Z, Anderson B, et al. Can left prefrontal rTMS be used as a maintenance treatment for bipolar depression? Depress Anxiety 2004;20:98-100.
- <sup>60</sup> Philip NS, Dunner DL, Dowd SM, et al. Can medication free, treatment-resistant, depressed patients who initially respond to tms be maintained off medications? A prospective, 12-month multisite randomized pilot study. Brain Stimul 2016;9:251-7.
- <sup>61</sup> George MS, Taylor JJ, Short EB. *The expanding evidence base for rTMS treatment of depression*. Curr Opin Psychiatry 2013;26:13-8.
- <sup>62</sup> Kratz O, Studer P, Barth W, et al. Seizure in a nonpredisposed individual induced by single-pulse transcranial magnetic stimulation. J ECT 2011;27:48-50.
- <sup>63</sup> Rosa MA, Odebrecht M, Rigonatti SP, et al. *Transcranial magnetic stimulation: review of accidental seizures*. Rev Bras Psiquiatr 2004 26:131-4.